

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,020	04/19/2004	Christopher Louis Capps	SVL920030108US1	2516	
34663 MICHAEL L	7590 07/07/2008 BUCHENHORNER		EXAMINER		
8540 S.W. 83	STREET		ANGELINO N		
MIAMI, FL 33143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2168		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MICHAEL@BUCHENHORNER.COM ANA@BUCHENHORNER.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,020	CAPPS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DANGELINO N. GORTAYO	2168	

	DANGELINO N. GORTAYO	2168						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1:							
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since a					
AMENDMENTS	and the time period det letter in ex-	51 Tt -1 1.67 (u).						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) will will	be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi	rided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	t be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ice because:					
12. Dote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Pierre M. Vital/								
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2169	/Dangelino N Gortayo/ Examiner, Art Unit 2168							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: These issues include the limitation "a relative cost of converting the data in the store node as opposed to converting the data in the enterprise node; and network bandwidth implications of converting in the first node as opposed to converting in the second node" in the proposed amendment of claim 1. The scope of independent claim 1 has been changed with the amendment above, and would require further consideration and/or search.